

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,845	07/07/2003	Molly Denise Reinman	171p-Reinmann 6927		
7590 10/12/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Barber Legal			SEMBER, THOMAS M		
PO Box 16220 Golden, CO 8			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Column, CO CO (02 CO) .			2875		
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/200	DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/612,845	REINMAN, MOLLY DENISE			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	\exists		
		Thomas M. Sember	2875			
1 Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
WHICHI - Extensio after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY in so of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Ju</u>	<u>ıly 2005</u> .				
2a)□ Th	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) <u></u> Si	nce this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is			
cle	osed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	of Claims					
4)⊠ CI	aim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are withdrawr	n from consideration.				
5)∐ Cl	aim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4-7 and 16</u> is/are rejected.					
•	aim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_] CI	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application	Papers		•			
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the Examine	e r. -				
10)□ Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.			
Ap	oplicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)∐ Th	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) <u></u>	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	•	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
	Certified copies of the priority documentCertified copies of the priority document		cation No			
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·				
0.	application from the International Bureau		one in the manerial stage			
* See	e the attached detailed Office action for a list		eived.			
			·			
Attachment(s						
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma				
3) 🔯 Informati	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date <u>07/07/03</u> .		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of the embodiment of species 4 (claims 1-7 and 16) in the reply filed on July 27, 2005 is acknowledged.

It appears that applicant has indicated that all claims 1-16 of the elected invention also read on the elected embodiment. The examiner disagrees. The examiner asserts that claims 1-7 and 16 read on the elected embodiment of Figure 4. Claims 8-15 recite structural features only depicted or described in the other non-elected embodiments.

Furthermore applicant traverses the rejection based on independent claim 2 being generic and dependent claims 3-16 depending thereon. However, just because claim 2 is generic to all the species doesn't mean that the dependent claims are also generic. Clearly, dependent claims 8-15 read on different non-elected embodiments and therefore the election is deemed proper and final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are between the solar cell, light, battery, hollow ornament and shepherd's hook.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,845 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

2. Claims 2-7 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are how the free-standing support is structurally related to the solar cell, light, battery and memorial ornament.

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 3 is indefinite because it is unclear if the "free-standing shepherd's hook support" is an additional support or is just the "free-standing support" claimed in claim 2 further defined. Furthermore, the word "means" is preceded by the word(s) "by" in an attempt to use a "means" clause to recite a claim element as a means for performing a specified function. However, since no function is specified by the word(s) preceding "means," it is impossible to determine the equivalents of the element, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See *Ex parte Klumb*, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/612,845 Page 4

Art Unit: 2875

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 2, 4-5, 7 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arcadia et al. Arcadia et al discloses a solar powered memorial comprising: a free-standing support 58, a solar-electricity cell 40, a rechargeable battery 84, a light 70, the solar-electricity cell, battery and light being operatively connected, and a memorial ornament 75 illuminated by the light.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 2, 4-5, 7 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wenzel et al. Wenzel et al discloses a solar powered memorial comprising: a free-standing support 45, a solar-electricity cell 65, a rechargeable battery (see column 5, lines 1-5), a light 60, the solar-electricity cell, battery and light being operatively connected, and a memorial ornament 30 illuminated by the light.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/612,845 Page 5

Art Unit: 2875

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (Arcadia et al or Wenzel et al). (Arcadia et al or Wenzel et al) discloses the claimed invention except for the teaching that the memorial is an angel. Tang teaches an illuminated angel. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute an angel for the religious figures or memorials of (Arcadia et al or Wenzel et al) in order to provide an alternatively aesthetically pleasing memorial.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 8. Claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. discloses ornaments similar to applicant's invention. Moran, III or Chacham et al teach shepherd hooks similar to applicant's invention. Crawford et al teaches a angel lit memorial.

Art Unit: 2875

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Sember whose telephone number is 571-272-2381. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 A.M- 5.30 p.m. first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas M Sember Primary Examiner Art Unit 2875
